

# On the algebraization of valuation semantics\*

(extended abstract)

Carlos Caleiro      Ricardo Gonçalves  
CLC, Dep. Mathematics, IST – TU Lisbon, Portugal

In 1989, W. Blok and D. Pigozzi proposed a precise mathematical definition of the notion of *algebraizable logic* [1], which generalizes the traditional Lindenbaum-Tarski method. Nevertheless, many interesting logics fall out of the scope of this approach. It is the case of the so-called *non-truth-functional logics*, and in particular of the paraconsistent systems of da Costa [4]. The major problem with these logics is the lack of congruence for some connective(s), the key ingredient in the algebraization process. Our goal is to generalize the Blok-Pigozzi approach by dropping the assumption that formulas should be homomorphically evaluated over algebras of truth-values of the same type. As an example we shall consider da Costa's system  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , whose non-algebraizability has been studied in [7, 6].

As in the Blok-Pigozzi case, we shall focus on logic systems  $\mathcal{L} = \langle L, \vdash \rangle$  which are tarskian and structural, in the sense that  $L$  is freely generated by a signature  $\Sigma$  from a set of propositional variables and  $\vdash$  is invariant under substitutions. As observed by J. Czelakowski and R. Jansana in [3], an equivalent characterization of the algebraizability of  $\mathcal{L}$  can be obtained in terms of the existence of a mutual interpretability between  $\mathcal{L}$  and unsorted equational logic. Hence  $\mathcal{L}$  is represented over the unsorted equational logic  $Eqn(\Sigma, K)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is precisely the signature of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $K$  is a class of  $\Sigma$ -algebras. Each  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $A \in K$  can thus be seen as an interpretation for  $\mathcal{L}$  along the unique homomorphism  $\llbracket \_ \rrbracket_A : L \rightarrow A$ . Our generalization proceeds by replacing unsorted equational logic with another suitable base logic. Following the idea in [2], we will work with a two-sorted equational logic with sorts  $\phi$  and  $\tau$  of formulas and truth values, respectively, plus an operation  $v$  from  $\phi$  to  $\tau$ , that represents the valuation map. Moreover, the two-sorted signature  $\Sigma_2$  will have the operations of  $\Sigma$  on sort  $\phi$ , but can have an arbitrarily chosen signature  $\Sigma'$  of operations on truth-values. We shall represent  $\mathcal{L}$  over the two-sorted equational logic  $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ , where  $K_2$  is a class of  $\Sigma_2$ -algebras. In this case we say that  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ -able. Here, each  $A \in K_2$  can be seen as an interpretation for  $\mathcal{L}$  along the valuation map  $v_A$  over the set of truth-values  $A_\tau$ . The crucial observation is that  $v$  does not have to be an homomorphism, as advocated in valuation semantics [5]. Of course, we can recover the Blok-Pigozzi case by choosing  $\Sigma' = \Sigma$  and  $K_2$  as the class of all algebras whose  $\phi$ -fragment is  $L$  and whose  $\tau$ -fragment is in  $K$ , and such that  $v$  satisfies the homomorphism conditions  $v(c(y_1, \dots, y_n)) = c(v(y_1), \dots, v(y_n))$  for every  $n$ -ary constructor  $c \in \Sigma$ .

Under this new approach, we show that  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is algebraizable using the class  $K_2$  of two-sorted algebras whose truth-values form a Boolean algebra, in such a way that the valuation map  $v$  fulfills the homomorphism conditions for every connective, except for the paraconsistent negation. Every formula  $\varphi \in L$  is translated to the  $\tau$ -equation  $v(\varphi) = \top$ , and every  $\tau$ -equation  $t_1 = t_2$  is translated to a formula  $t_1^* \equiv t_2^*$ . Here,  $t^*$  is obtained by taking advantage of the usual representation of classical negation in  $\mathcal{C}_1$ .

One of the most important tools of the Blok-Pigozzi approach is the *Leibniz operator*  $\Omega$  that maps each theory of  $\mathcal{L}$  to the largest congruence on  $L$  that is compatible with the theory. In

---

\*The authors are grateful to Don Pigozzi for very helpful discussions about this work, and for his useful suggestions. The work was partially supported by FCT and FEDER, namely, via the Projects POCTI/MAT/37239/2001 Fiblog and POCTI/MAT/55796/2004 Quantlog of CLC. The second author was also supported by FCT under the PhD grant SFRH/BD/18345/2004/SV7T.

our case, since the valuation map  $v$  is not necessarily an homomorphism, we may end up having contexts  $\delta$  (formulas in one variable) such that  $v(\varphi) = v(\psi)$  does not imply  $v(\delta(\varphi)) = v(\delta(\psi))$ . If the previous implication holds we call  $\delta(\_)$  a *congruent context*. An equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $L$  is then called a *semi-congruence* if  $\varphi \sim \psi$  implies  $\delta(\varphi) \sim \delta(\psi)$  for every congruent context  $\delta(\_)$ . In analogy, we can now also define an operator “ $\Omega$ ” that maps each theory of  $\mathcal{L}$  to the largest semi-congruence on  $L$  compatible with the theory. Using “ $\Omega$ ” we can generalize the notion of protoalgebraization. We say that  $\mathcal{L}$  is proto- $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ -able if  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \in “\Omega”( \Gamma )$  implies  $\Gamma \cup \{ \varphi \} \Vdash \Gamma \cup \{ \psi \}$ .

We can now prove that the general characterization properties of the Blok-Pigozzi approach with respect to the Leibniz operator carry over to our more general setting.

**Theorem 1**  $\mathcal{L}$  is proto- $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ -able if and only if “ $\Omega$ ” is monotone.

When a logic is  $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ -able we can relate, in a strong sense, the operator “ $\Omega$ ” with the corresponding representation map  $\theta : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ . In fact, for any theory  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ , we can show that “ $\Omega$ ”(  $\Gamma$  ) =  $\{ \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle : \theta(\Gamma) \vDash_{Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)} v(\varphi) = v(\psi) \}$ .

**Theorem 2**  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ -able if and only if “ $\Omega$ ” is injective and sup-preserving.

Since sup-preservation implies monotonicity, we also have that every  $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ -able logic is proto- $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ -able.

In this work we generalized the Blok-Pigozzi theory of algebraization of logics and got some similar results. Still there are many open problems. In particular, there are two very important open questions. Given a logic  $\mathcal{L}$  is it always possible to find a truth-values signature  $\Sigma'$  such that  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ -able? If we fix a truth-values signature  $\Sigma'$  and the valuation axioms, is there a proto- $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ -able logic that is not  $Eqn(\Sigma_2, K_2)$ -able? Nevertheless this work leaves good perspectives for further generalizations, namely by choosing other interesting base logics to replace the role played by unsorted equation logic in the Blok-Pigozzi case. We have already some preliminary work on abstracting the relevant properties of unsorted equational logic that are essential to the algebraization process.

## References

- [1] W. Blok and D. Pigozzi. Algebraizable logics. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 77(396), 1989.
- [2] C. Caleiro, W. A. Carnielli, M. E. Coniglio, A. Sernadas, and C. Sernadas. Fibring non-truth-functional logics: Completeness preservation. *Journal of Logic, Language and Information*, 12(2):183–211, 2003.
- [3] J. Czelakowski and R. Jansana. Weakly algebraizable logics. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 65(2), 2000.
- [4] N. da Costa. On the theory of inconsistent formal systems. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, 15:497–510, 1974.
- [5] N. da Costa and J.-Y. Béziau. Théorie de la valuation. *Logique et Analyse*, pages 145–146, 1994.
- [6] R. Lewin, I. Mikenberg, and M. Schwarze.  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is not algebraizable. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, 32(4):609–611, 1991.
- [7] C. Mortensen. Every quotient algebra for  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is trivial. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, 21:694–700, 1980.